# Transfer Learning

#### Pranav Goel 14075039

CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV, IIT (BHU) Varanasi

February 2018

Pranav Goel 14075039 (CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV

- We have become great at mapping inputs to outputs in the classic supervised setting.
- But we greatly lack the ability to generalize to conditions that are different from the ones encountered during training and the real world *is* messy!
- We need the ability to transfer knowledge to new conditions -**Transfer Learning**.

• Classic Supervised Learning - generally assumes that the training and test set examples are from same task and domain.



- Task: objective of our model (like image recognition).
- Domain: where the data comes from (like images of Indian footpaths).



• Traditional Supervised ML breaks down when we do NOT have *sufficient labeled data* for the task or domain we care about.



- Traditional Supervised ML breaks down when we do NOT have **sufficient** labeled **data** for the task or **domain** we care about.
- Performance Deterioration!



- Traditional Supervised ML breaks down when we do NOT have sufficient **labeled data** for the **task** or domain we care about.
- Cannot reuse existing model!



• Transfer Learning allows us to leverage already existing labeled data of some related task or domain.



# Why Transfer Learning NOW?

• "Transfer Learning will be the next driver of ML success" - Andrew Ng, NIPS 2016.



#### • Consider binary document classification as a running example.

- Consider binary document classification as a running example.
- A domain *D* consists of a feature space  $\chi$  and a marginal probability distribution P(X) over the feature space, where  $X = x_1, ..., x_n \in \chi$ .

- Consider binary document classification as a running example.
- A domain *D* consists of a feature space  $\chi$  and a marginal probability distribution P(X) over the feature space, where  $X = x_1, ..., x_n \in \chi$ .
- For document classification, χ = space of all document representations, x<sub>i</sub> is the *i*-th term of feature vector corresponding to some document and X is the sample of documents used for training.

- Consider binary document classification as a running example.
- A domain *D* consists of a feature space  $\chi$  and a marginal probability distribution P(X) over the feature space, where  $X = x_1, ..., x_n \in \chi$ .
- For document classification, χ = space of all document representations, x<sub>i</sub> is the *i*-th term of feature vector corresponding to some document and X is the sample of documents used for training.
- So, Domain  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}.$

• Task  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the label space and P(Y|X) is the conditional probability distribution typically learned from the training data.

- Task  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the label space and P(Y|X) is the conditional probability distribution typically learned from the training data.
- For transfer learning, we are given the source domain  $D_S$ , source task  $T_S$ , target domain  $D_T$  and the target task  $T_T$ .

- Task  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the label space and P(Y|X) is the conditional probability distribution typically learned from the training data.
- For transfer learning, we are given the source domain  $D_S$ , source task  $T_S$ , target domain  $D_T$  and the target task  $T_T$ .
- Now, the objective of transfer learning is to enable us to learn the target conditional probability distribution  $P(Y_T|X_T)$  in  $D_T$  with the information gained from  $D_S$  and  $T_S$  where  $D_S \neq D_T$  or  $T_S \neq T_T$ .

- Task  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , where  $\gamma$  is the label space and P(Y|X) is the conditional probability distribution typically learned from the training data.
- For transfer learning, we are given the source domain  $D_S$ , source task  $T_S$ , target domain  $D_T$  and the target task  $T_T$ .
- Now, the objective of transfer learning is to enable us to learn the target conditional probability distribution  $P(Y_T|X_T)$  in  $D_T$  with the information gained from  $D_S$  and  $T_S$  where  $D_S \neq D_T$  or  $T_S \neq T_T$ .
- In most cases, we have a limited number of labeled target examples (exponentially smaller than the number of labeled source examples).

• Given source and target domains  $D_S$  and  $D_T$  where  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}$ and source and target tasks  $T_S$  and  $T_T$  where  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , the source and target conditions can vary in **four** ways -

- Given source and target domains  $D_S$  and  $D_T$  where  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}$ and source and target tasks  $T_S$  and  $T_T$  where  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , the source and target conditions can vary in **four** ways -
  - $\chi_{S} \neq \chi_{T}$  (cross-lingual adaption in NLP).

- Given source and target domains  $D_S$  and  $D_T$  where  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}$ and source and target tasks  $T_S$  and  $T_T$  where  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , the source and target conditions can vary in **four** ways -
  - $\chi_{S} \neq \chi_{T}$  (cross-lingual adaption in NLP).
  - 2  $P(X_S) \neq P(X_T)$  (domain adaptation).

- Given source and target domains  $D_S$  and  $D_T$  where  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}$ and source and target tasks  $T_S$  and  $T_T$  where  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , the source and target conditions can vary in **four** ways -
  - $\chi_S \neq \chi_T$  (cross-lingual adaption in NLP).
  - 2  $P(X_S) \neq P(X_T)$  (domain adaptation).
  - **(**)  $\gamma_S \neq \gamma_T$  (practically occurs with scenario 4).

- Given source and target domains  $D_S$  and  $D_T$  where  $D = \{\chi, P(X)\}$ and source and target tasks  $T_S$  and  $T_T$  where  $T = \{\gamma, P(Y|X)\}$ , the source and target conditions can vary in **four** ways -
  - $\chi_S \neq \chi_T$  (cross-lingual adaption in NLP).
  - 2  $P(X_S) \neq P(X_T)$  (domain adaptation).
  - $\gamma_S \neq \gamma_T$  (practically occurs with scenario 4).
  - $P(Y_S|X_S) \neq P(Y_T|X_T)$  (imbalance with respect to the classes, usually handled with oversampling or undersampling).

• Deep Learning has brought about many set of approaches for transfer learning.

#### Using pre-trained CNN features

 Image Detection on ImageNet using CNN - features/representations learned by the layers.

- Image Detection on ImageNet using CNN features/representations learned by the layers.
- CNN trained on ImageNet seems to capture details about the way animals and objects are structured and composed, which is relevant when dealing with images in general.

- Image Detection on ImageNet using CNN features/representations learned by the layers.
- CNN trained on ImageNet seems to capture details about the way animals and objects are structured and composed, which is relevant when dealing with images in general.
- Astounding success in vision

- Image Detection on ImageNet using CNN features/representations learned by the layers.
- CNN trained on ImageNet seems to capture details about the way animals and objects are structured and composed, which is relevant when dealing with images in general.
- Astounding success in vision
- Beyond Vision?

- Image Detection on ImageNet using CNN features/representations learned by the layers.
- CNN trained on ImageNet seems to capture details about the way animals and objects are structured and composed, which is relevant when dealing with images in general.
- Astounding success in vision
- Beyond Vision?
- Mostly used in scenario 3 adapting to new tasks.

#### Making Representations more similar

• Representations for the two domains should be as similar as possible.

- Representations for the two domains should be as similar as possible.
- Capture commonalities between domains, do NOT focus on domain specific characteristics

- Representations for the two domains should be as similar as possible.
- Capture commonalities between domains, do NOT focus on domain specific characteristics
- Actively encourage our autoencoder/neural model to learn similar representations -

- Representations for the two domains should be as similar as possible.
- Capture commonalities between domains, do NOT focus on domain specific characteristics
- Actively encourage our autoencoder/neural model to learn similar representations -
  - A pre-processing step to get new representation to then use for training.

- Representations for the two domains should be as similar as possible.
- Capture commonalities between domains, do NOT focus on domain specific characteristics
- Actively encourage our autoencoder/neural model to learn similar representations -
  - A pre-processing step to get new representation to then use for training.
  - 2 Modify the learning objective or loss function.

#### **Confusing Domains**

• Add another objective to an existing model that encourages it to *confuse* the two domains.

- Add another objective to an existing model that encourages it to *confuse* the two domains.
- Original task objective has an associated loss function (based on predicted and actual labels).

- Add another objective to an existing model that encourages it to *confuse* the two domains.
- Original task objective has an associated loss function (based on predicted and actual labels).
- Domain Confusion Loss classification loss when the model tries to *predict the domain* of the input example.

- Add another objective to an existing model that encourages it to *confuse* the two domains.
- Original task objective has an associated loss function (based on predicted and actual labels).
- Domain Confusion Loss classification loss when the model tries to *predict the domain* of the input example.
- Difference from regular loss? Gradients that flow from the loss to the rest of the network are reversed gradient reversal layer causes model to try and *maximize* error.



#### **Confusing Domains**



Model learns representations that allow it to **minimize** its **original objective**, while **not allowing it to differentiate between the two domains**, which is beneficial for knowledge transfer!

Pranav Goel 14075039 (CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV

Transfer Learning

#### **Confusing Domains**



Left - Model trained only with regular objective; learned rep. clearly separates the domains.

Right - Model objective augmented with domain confusion term.

Transfer Learning

#### Learning from Simulations

• For many ML applications that rely on hardware for interaction, gathering data and training a model in the real world is either expensive, time-consuming, or simply too dangerous.

#### Learning from Simulations

- For many ML applications that rely on hardware for interaction, gathering data and training a model in the real world is either expensive, time-consuming, or simply too dangerous.
- Simulation offers an alternative, less risky way to gather and use data.

#### Learning from Simulations

- For many ML applications that rely on hardware for interaction, gathering data and training a model in the real world is either expensive, time-consuming, or simply too dangerous.
- Simulation offers an alternative, less risky way to gather and use data.
- Learning from simulation, and applying the knowledge gained or making the transfer to real world - an example of scenario 2 (same feature space, different marginal probabilities).

#### Learning from Simulations - Benefits

• Makes data gathering easy (objects can be bounded and analyzed).

#### Learning from Simulations - Benefits

- Makes data gathering easy (objects can be bounded and analyzed).
- Enables fast training (learning can be parallelized across multiple instances).

#### Learning from Simulations - Self-Driving Cars



Udacity's self-driving car simulator

Pranav Goel 14075039 (CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV

Transfer Learning

#### Learning from Simulations - Robotics

Training models on a real robot is too slow and robots are expensive to train.



Robot and simulation images

#### Adapting to New Domains

• Data where labeled information is easily accessible and the data that we actually care about are often different.

#### Adapting to New Domains

- Data where labeled information is easily accessible and the data that we actually care about are often different.
- Even if training and test sets *look* the same, training data may contain some bias (which we cannot perceive) that will be exploited by model to overfit.

#### Adapting to New Domains



#### Different visual domains

Pranav Goel 14075039 (CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV

Transfer Learning

#### Adapting to New Domains



Different text types/genres

Next level challenge - domains pertaining to individual or groups of users

Next level challenge - domains pertaining to *individual* or *groups of users* Consider **Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR)** 



The End

## Thank You

#### Questions?

Pranav Goel 14075039 (CSE B.Tech. Pt. IV